



राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश

हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य शासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

सोमवार, 17 जुलाई, 2017 / 26 आषाढ़, 1939

हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 18th April, 2016

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2016-Mandi (Part-II).—Whereas Shri Pushap Raj S/O Shri Dev Mani, R/O Village and Post Office Bagsaid, Tehsil Thunag, District Mandi, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 23.01.2008 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, I.&P.H. Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute

amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 7 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 18.06.2010;

And whereas Shri Pushap Raj S/O Shri Dev Mani agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 4853/2015. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 5.1.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

- “3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.*
- 4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”*

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Pushap Raj S/O Shri Dev Mani, R/O Village and Post Office Bagsaid, Tehsil Thunag, District Mandi, H.P. during November, 2000 by the Executive Engineer, I.&P.H. Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages for 169 days during year, 1999 and 219 days during year, 2000 respectively and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 7 years vide demand notice dated 23.01.2008, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified ? If not, keeping in view of working period of 169 days during year, 1999 and 219 days during year, 2000 respectively and delay of more than 7 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 18th April, 2016*

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2016-Mandi (Part-II).—Whereas Shri Ganga Ram S/O Shri Narotam Ram, R/O Village Khanayari, P.O. Kandha, Tehsil Thunag, District Mandi, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 23.01.2008 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, I.&P.H. Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum- Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 7 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order No.11-23/84(Lab)I.D./2010-Mandi, dated June, 2010;

And whereas Shri Ganga Ram S/O Shri Narotam Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 4853/2015. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 5.1.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

- “3. *In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.*
4. *The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”*

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Ganga Ram S/O Shri Narotam Ram, R/O Village Khanayari, P.O. Kandha, Tehsil Thunag, District Mandi, H.P. during November, 2000 by the Executive Engineer, I.&P.H. Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages for 211 days during year, 2000 and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 7 years vide demand notice dated 23.01.2008, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified ? If not, keeping in view of working period of 211 days during year, 2000 and delay of more than 7 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service

benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?"

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 3rd May, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.—Whereas Shri Raghubir S/O Shri Prabh Dayal, R/O Village Reley, P.O. Sulyali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 23.7.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Deputy Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that as per reply filed by the above employer before the concerned conciliation officer above worker had not worked with the employer and raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 20 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 25.11.2014;

And whereas Shri Raghubir S/O Shri Prabh Dayal agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 249/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 23.2.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

- “3. *In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.*
4. *The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.*”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial

Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Raghubir S/O Shri Prabh Dayal, R/O Village Reley, P.O. Sulyali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during December, 1990 by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 20 years vide demand notice dated 23.07.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the workman, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 20 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above exworker is entitled to from the above employer /management?”

By order,
Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 11 April, 2016

No. 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2016-Chamba.—Whereas Shri Raj Kumar S/O Shri Dhiana Ram, R/O Village Angari, P.O. Prena, Tehsil and District Chamba, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated –nil-received in Labour Office, Chamba on 8.6.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Bharmour, District Chamba, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 7 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 5.2.2013;

And whereas Shri Raj Kumar S/O Shri Dhiana Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 148/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 23.2.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

- “3. *In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.*
4. *The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.*”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Raj Kumar S/O Shri Dhiana Ram, R/O Village Angari, P.O. Prena, Tehsil and District Chamba, H.P. during March, 2004 by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Bharmour, District Chamba, H.P., who had worked as daily wages worker and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 7 years vide demand notice dated-nil-received on 08.06.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 7 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 26th April, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.—Whereas Shri Rajinder Kumar S/O Shri Chhaju Ram, R/O Village and Post Office Sulyali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 23.7.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Deputy Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of about 24 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 13.11.2014;

And whereas Shri Rajinder Kumar S/O Shri Chhaju Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 258/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 25.2.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

- “3. *In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.*
4. *The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.*”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Rajinder Kumar S/O Shri Chhaju Ram, R/O Village and Post Office Sulyali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during August, 1987 by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages for 12 days during year, 1987 and has raised his industrial dispute after about 24 years vide demand notice dated 23.7.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified ? If not, keeping in view of working period of 12 days during year, 1987 and delay of about 24 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 26th April, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.—Whereas Shri Ramesh Chand S/O Shri Beli Ram, R/O Village Dadheru, P.O. Sulyali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 23.7.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation

Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Deputy Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 24 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 13.11.2014;

And whereas Shri Ramesh Chand S/O Shri Beli Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 247/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 23.2.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

- “3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.*
- 4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”*

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Ramesh Chand S/O Shri Beli Ram, R/O Village Dadheru, P.O. Sulyali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during March, 1987 by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages for 60 days during year, 1986 and 14 days during year, 1987 respectively and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 24 years vide demand notice dated 23.7.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified ? If not, keeping in view of working period of 60 days during year, 1986 and 14 days during year, 1987 respectively and delay of more than 24 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 11 April, 2016*

No. 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2016-Chamba.—Whereas Shri Rattan Chand S/O Shri Ronki Ram, R/O Village Chanehla, P.O. Preena, Tehsil and District Chamba, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 30.05.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Bharmour, District Chamba, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum- Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 7 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 4.2.2013;

And whereas Shri Rattan Chand S/O Shri Ronki Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 149/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 25.2.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

- “3. *In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.*
4. *The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.*”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Rattan Chand S/O Shri Ronki Ram, R/O Village Chanehla, P.O. Preena, Tehsil and District Chamba, H.P. during March, 2004 by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Bharmour, District Chamba, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 7 years vide demand notice dated 30.05.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 7 years in raising the industrial

dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?"

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 26th April, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.—Whereas Shri Roop Lal S/O Shri Karam Chand, R/O Village and Post Office Sulyali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 23.7.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Deputy Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that as per reply filed by the employer before the concerned conciliation officer, above worker had not worked with the employer and raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 20 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 6.12.2014;

And whereas Shri Roop Lal S/O Shri Karam Chand agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 243/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 23.2.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

- “3. *In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.*
4. *The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.*”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial

Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Roop Lal S/O Shri Karam Chand, R/O Village and Post Office Sulyali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during April, 1990 by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 20 years vide demand notice dated 23.07.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 20 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer /management?”

By order,
Sd/-

*Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.*

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 13th July, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.—Whereas Shri Roop Singh S/O Shri Inga Ram, R/O Village Aund Tikka Par Nala, .P.O. Aund, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated-nil-received in Labour Office, Dharamshala on 13.06.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that as per reply filed by the above employers worker has not worked with the employers No. (1) & (2) and had raised the dispute at a belated stage of after about 21 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 22.08.2013;

And whereas Shri Roop Singh S/O Shri Inga Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 634/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 28.03.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

- “3. *In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.*
4. *The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.*”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Roop Singh S/O Shri Inga Ram, R/O Village Aund Tikka Par Nala, P.O. Aund, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during year, 1990 by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after about 21 years vide demand notice dated-nil-received on 13.06.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the workman, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of about 21 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 11th April, 2016

No. 11-5/99(Lab) ID/2016-Chamba.—Whereas Shri Sanjay Kumar S/O Shri Devia Ram, R/O Village Angari, P.O. Preena, Tehsil and District Chamba, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 30.05.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Bharmour, District Chamba, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Chamba, District Chamba, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate

Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 7 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 5.2.2013;

And whereas Shri Sanjay Kumar S/O Shri Devia Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 146/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 22.2.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 titled as Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

- “3. *In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.*
4. *The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.*”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Sanjay Kumar S/O Shri Devia Ram, R/O Village Angari, P.O. Preena, Tehsil and District Chamba, H.P. during February, 2003 by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Bharmour, District Chamba, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages for 49 ½ days during year, 2002 and 25 days during year, 2003 respectively and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 7 years vide demand notice dated 30.05.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified ? If not, keeping in view of working period of 49 ½ days during year, 2002 and 25 days during year, 2003 respectively and delay of more than 7 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 1st July, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.—Whereas Shri Sham Singh S/O Shri Sahib Singh, R/O Village Haddal Chikli, P.O. Haddal, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated-nil-received in Labour Office, Dharamshala on 13.06.2011 regarding his illegal

termination from the services by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that as per reply filed by the above employers worker has not worked with the employers No. (1) & (2) and had raised the dispute at a belated stage of after about 21 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 22.08.2013;

And whereas Shri Sham Singh S/O Shri Sahib Singh agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 782/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 7.4.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

“3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.

4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Sham Singh S/O Shri Sahib Singh, R/O Village Haddal Chikli, P.O. Haddal, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during year, 1990 by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after about 21 years vide demand notice dated-nil-received on 13.06.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the workman, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of after about 21 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT**NOTIFICATION***Shimla-171001, the 30th May, 2016*

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.—Whereas Shri Sham Sunder S/O Shri Karam Chand, R/O Village and Post Office Khanni, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated nil- received in Labour Office, Dharamshala on 04.03.2013 regarding his illegal termination from the services by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Deputy Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that as per reply filed by the above employers worker has not worked with the employers No. (1) & (2) and had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 22 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 24.03.2015;

And whereas Shri Sham Sunder S/O Shri Karam Chand agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 750/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 6.4.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

- “3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.*
- 4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”*

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamshala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Sham Sunder S/O Shri Karam Chand, R/O Village and Post Office Khanni, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during year, 1990 by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 22 years vide demand notice dated-nil-received on 04.03.2013, without

complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the workman, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 22 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?"

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 28th April, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.—Whereas Shri Shamsheer Singh S/O Shri Bhirao Ram, R/O Village and Post Office Sulyali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 23.7.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Deputy Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that as per reply filed by the above employer before the concerned conciliation officer above worker had not worked with the employer and raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 20 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 6.12.2014;

And whereas Shri Shamsheer Singh S/O Shri Bhirao Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 246/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 25.2.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

- “3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (*supra*), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.
4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Shamsheer Singh S/O Shri Bhirroo Ram, R/O Village and Post Office Sulyali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during December, 1990 by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 20 years vide demand notice dated 23.07.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the workman, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 20 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer /management?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 30th May, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.—Whereas Shri Sher Singh S/o Shri Mangat Ram, R/O Village and Post Office Jaunta, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated nil- received in Labour Office, Dharamshala on 13.06.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that as per reply filed by the above employers worker has not worked with the employers No. (1) & (2) and had raised the dispute at a belated stage of about 21 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 31.08.2013;

And whereas Shri Sher Singh S/o Shri Mangat Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 725/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 5.4.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High

Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

- “3. *In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.*
4. *The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.*”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Sher Singh S/O Shri Mangat Ram, R/O Village and Post Office Jaunta, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during year, 1990 by (1) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Nurpur, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. (2) The Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after about 21 years vide demand notice dated-nil-received on 13.06.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the workman, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of about 21 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer/management?”

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 3rd May, 2016

No. 11-1/85(Lab) ID/2016-Kangra.—Whereas Shri Sher Singh S/O Shri Charan Singh, R/O Village Thatheru, P.O. Sulyali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated 23.7.2011 regarding his illegal termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, District Kangra, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Dharamshala, District Kangra, H.P. was considered, examined and Deputy Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that as per reply filed by the above employer

before the concerned conciliation officer above worker had not worked with the employer and raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 20 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 13.11.2014;

And whereas Shri Sher Singh S/O Shri Charan Singh agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 260/2016. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 25.2.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

- “3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.
4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006- IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act ibid, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Shri Sher Singh S/O Shri Charan Singh, R/O Village Thatheru, P.O. Sulyali, Tehsil Nurpur, District Kangra, H.P. during December, 1990 by the Executive Engineer, H.P.P.W.D. Division, Jawali, District Kangra, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 20 years vide demand notice dated 23.07.2011, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, as alleged by the workman, is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 20 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above exworker is entitled to from the above employer /management?”

By order,
Sd/-

Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

LABOUR & EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENT

NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171001, the 4th May, 2016

No. 11-23/84(Lab) ID/2016-Mandi (Part-II).—Whereas Mr. Sohan Lal S/O Shri Dila Ram, R/O Village Bali Dhar, P.O. Thunag, Tehsil Thunag, District Mandi, H.P. had raised a demand notice dated –nilreceived in Labour Office, Mandi on 06.03.2009 regarding his illegal

termination from the services by the Executive Engineer, I.&P.H. Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P. The Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. tried to settle the industrial dispute amicably, but the same could not be settled during the course of conciliation proceedings, where after he sent a report under Section 12(4) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to the Labour Commissioner, H.P.;

And whereas the report sent by the Labour Officer-cum-Conciliation Officer, Mandi, District Mandi, H.P. was considered, examined and Labour Commissioner, H.P. as appropriate Government came to the conclusion that above worker had raised the dispute at a belated stage of more than 13 years and therefore declined the reference of the dispute vide order dated 28.06.2010;

And whereas Mr. Sohan Lal S/O Shri Dila Ram agitated the above orders of declining of reference of his industrial dispute to the Ld. Labour Court before the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh vide C.W.P. No. 4855/2015. The Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh has disposed off the civil writ petition on 5.1.2016 and directed the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment, dated 30th December, 2014 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of H.P. in CWP No. 9467 of 2014 case titled Pratap Chand versus Himachal Pradesh State Electricity Board and others within eight weeks. The operative part of the judgment is reproduced as follows;

- “3. In the given circumstances, we deem it proper to direct the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners, in terms of the judgment (supra), and make a decision within eight weeks. The said judgment shall form part of this judgment also.*
- 4. The writ petitions are disposed of accordingly, alongwith pending applications, if any.”*

Therefore, in view of above the undersigned while exercising the powers vested by the Govt. of Himachal Pradesh vide Notification No. Shram(A)4-9/2006-IV-Loose, Dated 15th February, 2014 and as per power vested under Sub Section-1 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) this industrial dispute is referred to the Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Dharamsala, constituted under Section-7 of Act *ibid*, on the following issue/issues for legal adjudication;

“Whether alleged termination of services of Mr. Sohan Lal S/O Shri Dila Ram, R/O Village Bali Dhar, P.O. Thunag, Tehsil Thunag, District Mandi, H.P. during November, 1995 by the Executive Engineer, I.&P.H. Division, Sunder Nagar, District Mandi, H.P., who had worked as beldar on daily wages and has raised his industrial dispute after more than 13 years vide demand notice dated-nil-received on 06.03.2009, without complying the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 is legal and justified? If not, keeping in view of delay of more than 13 years in raising the industrial dispute, what amount of back wages, seniority, past service benefits and compensation the above ex-worker is entitled to from the above employer /management?”

By order,
Sd/-
Deputy Labour Commissioner,
Himachal Pradesh.

**ब अदालत नायब तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी, उप-तहसील धीरा,
जिला कांगड़ा (हि0 प्र0)**

केस नं0 : 03/2017

तारीख पेशी : 31-07-2017

शीर्षक.—हमेश सिंह पुत्र दिवान चन्द, निवासी महाल गदयाड़ा, मौजा रझूं, उप-तहसील धीरा, जिला कांगड़ा,
हि0 प्र0 प्रार्थी।

बनाम

आम जनता

विषय.—दरखास्त बराए नाम दुरुस्ती करने बारे।

हमेश सिंह पुत्र दिवान चन्द, निवासी महाल गदयाड़ा, मौजा रझूं, उप-तहसील धीरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0 ने इस अदालत में प्रार्थना—पत्र मय शपथ—पत्र इस आशय से पेश किया है कि उसका नाम हमेश सिंह है। जबकि महाल गदयाड़ा, मौजा रझूं, उप-तहसील धीरा के राजस्व अभिलेख में महेश चंद दर्शाया गया है। अतः महाल गदयाड़ा, मौजा रझूं के राजस्व अभिलेख में उसका नाम दुरुस्त किया जाये।

अतः इस इशतहार व मुस्त्री मुनादी द्वारा सर्वसाधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उक्त नाम की दुरुस्ती बारे कोई उजर या एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 30-07-2017 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे अदालतन या वकालतन हाजिर अदालत आकर अपना उजर पेश कर सकता है। इसके उपरान्त कोई भी उजर या एतराज जेरे समायत न होगा तथा नियमानुसार उक्त नाम की दुरुस्ती के आदेश पारित कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
नायब तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,
उप-तहसील धीरा, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0।

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, तहसील धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0

Buga Ga

बनाम

आम जनता

विषय.—प्रार्थना—पत्र जेर धारा 13(3) हिमाचल प्रदेश पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता।

श्री Buga Ga पुत्र श्री Dechen, निवासी Mcleodganj, तहसील धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा ने इस अदालत में शपथ—पत्र सहित मुकद्दमा दायर किया है कि उसके पुत्र नाम Tenzin Tendhar की जन्म तिथि 15-10-2006 है परन्तु एम0 सी0 धर्मशाला में जन्म पंजीकृत न है। अतः इसे पंजीकृत किये जाने के आदेश दिये जायें। इस नोटिस के द्वारा समस्त जनता को तथा सम्बन्धित सम्बन्धियों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त Tenzin Tendhar का जन्म पंजीकृत किये जाने बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह अपना

एतराज हमारी अदालत में दिनांक 3-8-17 को असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर अपना एतराज पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा मुताबिक शपथ-पत्र जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत किये जाने बारे आदेश पारित कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 7-7-17 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर

हस्ताक्षरित/—
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0।

ब अदालत श्री प्रेम लाल शर्मा, कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी एवं तहसीलदार कांगड़ा,
तहसील व जिला कांगड़ा

मिसल नं0 :

तारीख दायरा : 21-04-2017

तारीख पेशी : 04-08-2017

राजिन्दर कुमार

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रार्थना पत्र जन्म मृत्यु पंजीकरण जेर धारा 13(3) अधिनियम

प्रार्थी श्री राजिन्दर कुमार पुत्र श्री शक्ति राम, निवासी वार्ड नं0 7 मन्दिर बाजार कांगड़ा, तहसील व जिला कांगड़ा ने इस अदालत में शपथ पत्र सहित प्रार्थना-पत्र गुजारा है कि अंशु शर्मा पुत्र राजिन्दर कुमार का जन्म दिनांक 21-11-2002 को हुआ था परन्तु यह जन्म तारीख अज्ञानतावश नगर परिषद् कांगड़ा के रिकार्ड में दर्ज न हो सकी।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इश्तहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि इस जन्म तारीख पंजीकरण बारे किसी को कोई एतराज हो तो दिनांक 04-08-2017 को असालतन या वकालतन प्रातः 10.00 बजे इस अदालत में हाजिर आकर अपना एतराज पेश कर सकता है। निर्धारित अवधि तक कोई आपत्ति/एतराज प्राप्त न होने पर प्रार्थना-पत्र पर नियमानुसार कार्यवाही की जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 29-06-2017 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी एवं तहसीलदार,
कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0।

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, तहसील धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0

श्रीमती Luxmi Devi

बनाम

आम जनता

विषय.—प्रार्थना-पत्र जेर धारा 13(3) हिमाचल प्रदेश पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता।

श्रीमती Luxmi Devi पत्नी श्री Mewa Lal, निवासी Khaniara, तहसील धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा ने इस अदालत में शपथ-पत्र सहित मुकद्दमा दायर किया है कि उसके पुत्र नाम Som Bhadur की जन्म तिथि 26-01-1986 है परन्तु एम0 सी0 Dharamshala में जन्म पंजीकृत न है। अतः इसे पंजीकृत किये जाने के आदेश दिये जायें। इस नोटिस के द्वारा समस्त जनता को तथा सम्बन्धित सम्बन्धियों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त Som Bhadur का जन्म पंजीकृत किये जाने बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह अपना एतराज हमारी अदालत में दिनांक 7-8-17 को असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर अपना एतराज पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा मुताबिक शपथ-पत्र जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत किये जाने बारे आदेश पारित कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 7-7-17 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर

हस्ताक्षरित/—
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0।

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, तहसील धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0

श्रीमती Luxmi Devi

बनाम

आम जनता

विषय.—प्रार्थना-पत्र जेर धारा 13(3) हिमाचल प्रदेश पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता।

श्रीमती Luxmi Devi पत्नी श्री Mewa Lal, निवासी Khaniara, तहसील धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा ने इस अदालत में शपथ-पत्र सहित मुकद्दमा दायर किया है कि उसके पुत्र नाम Ajeet Bhadur की जन्म तिथि 12-12-1978 है परन्तु एम0 सी0 Dharamshala में जन्म पंजीकृत न है। अतः इसे पंजीकृत किये जाने के आदेश दिये जायें। इस नोटिस के द्वारा समस्त जनता को तथा सम्बन्धित सम्बन्धियों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त Ajeet Bhadur का जन्म पंजीकृत किये जाने बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह अपना एतराज हमारी अदालत में दिनांक 7-8-17 को असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर अपना एतराज पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा मुताबिक शपथ-पत्र जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत किये जाने बारे आदेश पारित कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 7-7-17 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर

हस्ताक्षरित/—
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0।

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी, तहसील धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0

श्री Ashish Kumar Thapa

बनाम

आम जनता

विषय.—प्रार्थना-पत्र जेर धारा 13(3) हिमाचल प्रदेश पंजीकरण अधिनियम, 1969.

नोटिस बनाम आम जनता।

श्री Ashish Kumar Thapa पुत्र श्री Bishan Singh Thapa, निवासी Dari, तहसील धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा ने इस अदालत में शपथ-पत्र सहित मुकद्दमा दायर किया है कि उसके पुत्र नाम Ankit Thapa की जन्म तिथि 30-9-1994 है परन्तु एम0 सी0 Dharamshala में जन्म पंजीकृत न है। अतः इसे पंजीकृत किये जाने के आदेश दिये जायें। इस नोटिस के द्वारा समस्त जनता को तथा सम्बन्धित सम्बन्धियों को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त Ankit Thapa का जन्म पंजीकृत किये जाने बारे कोई एतराज हो तो वह अपना एतराज हमारी अदालत में दिनांक 3-8-17 को असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर अपना एतराज पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा मुताबिक शपथ-पत्र जन्म तिथि पंजीकृत किये जाने बारे आदेश पारित कर दिये जायेंगे।

आज दिनांक 7-7-17 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर

हस्ताक्षरित/—
कार्यकारी दण्डाधिकारी,
धर्मशाला, जिला कांगड़ा, हि0 प्र0।

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)

मिसल नम्बर : 13/2017

तारीख मरजुआ : 23-06-2017

तारीख पेशी : 20-07-2017

श्री रणजीत कुमार पुत्र स्व0 श्री चन्द राम, निवासी गांव चतरौण, डाकखाना धलारां, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0) प्रार्थी।

बनाम

आम जनता

फरीकदोयम।

अधीन धारा 37(2) भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 के तहत आवेदन पत्र।

श्री रणजीत कुमार पुत्र स्व0 श्री चन्द राम, निवासी गांव चतरौण, डाकखाना धलारां, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0) द्वारा समस्त औपचारिकताओं सहित इस न्यायालय में प्रस्तुत आवेदन पत्र में उल्लेख किया है कि उसके पिता का वास्तविक नाम चन्द राम है जबकि राजस्व अभिलेख महाल चतरौण में उनका नाम रामचन्दर दर्ज है जो कि गलत है इसलिए उसने निवेदन किया है कि राजस्व अभिलेख महाल चतरौण में दुरुस्ती की जाकर उसके पिता का नाम रामचन्दर उर्फ चन्द राम दर्ज किया जाए।

अतः इससे पूर्व कि मामला में अधीन धारा 37(2) भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 के तहत आगामी आवश्यक कार्रवाई अमल में लाई जाए, इस नोटिस द्वारा जन-साधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त मामला में कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह इस न्यायालय में दिनांक 20-07-2017 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर अपना उजर/एतराज पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा गैर हाजिरी की सूरत में एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 23-06-2017 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

जगदीश लाल,
सहायक समाहर्ता, प्रथम श्रेणी,
सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी, हि0 प्र0।

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)

मिसल नम्बर : 12/2017

तारीख मरजुआ : 15-06-2017

तारीख पेशी : 20-07-2017

श्री श्रवण कुमार पुत्र श्री अनन्त राम, निवासी गांव अप्पर बैरी, डाकखाना कोठुवां, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0) प्रार्थी।

बनाम

आम जनता

फरीकदोयम।

अधीन धारा 37(2) भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 के तहत आवेदन पत्र।

श्री श्रवण कुमार पुत्र श्री अनन्त राम, निवासी गांव अप्पर बैरी, डाकखाना कोठुवां, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0) द्वारा समस्त औपचारिकताओं सहित इस न्यायालय में प्रस्तुत आवेदन पत्र में उल्लेख किया है कि उसके पिता का वास्तविक नाम अनन्त राम है जबकि राजस्व अभिलेख महाल अप्पर बैरी में उनका नाम नोता दर्ज है जो कि गलत है इसलिए उसने निवेदन किया है कि राजस्व अभिलेख महाल अप्पर बैरी में दरुस्ती की जाकर उसके पिता का नाम नोता उर्फ अनन्त राम दर्ज किया जाए।

अतः इससे पूर्व कि मामला में अधीन धारा 37(2) भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 के तहत आगामी आवश्यक कार्रवाई अमल में लाई जाए, इस नोटिस द्वारा जन-साधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त मामला में कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह इस न्यायालय में दिनांक 20-07-2017 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर अपना उजर/एतराज पेश कर सकता है अन्यथा गैर हाजिरी की सूरत में एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 15-06-2017 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

जगदीश लाल,
सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी,
सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी, हि0 प्र0।

ब अदालत तहसीलदार एवं सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0)

मिसल नम्बर : 11/2017

तारीख मरजुआ : 15-06-2017

तारीख पेशी : 20-07-2017

श्री श्रवण कुमार पुत्र श्री अनन्त राम, निवासी गांव अप्पर बैरी, डाकखाना कोठुवां, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0) प्रार्थी।

बनाम

आम जनता

फरीकदोयम।

अधीन धारा 37(2) भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 के तहत आवेदन पत्र।

श्री श्रवण कुमार पुत्र श्री अनन्त राम, निवासी गांव अप्पर बैरी, डाकखाना कोठुवां, जिला मण्डी (हि0 प्र0) द्वारा समस्त औपचारिकताओं सहित इस न्यायालय में प्रस्तुत आवेदन पत्र में उल्लेख किया है कि उसका वास्तविक नाम श्रवण कुमार है जबकि राजस्व अभिलेख मुहाल अप्पर बैरी में उसका नाम सरवन् दर्ज है

जो कि गलत है इसलिए उसने निवेदन किया है कि राजस्व अभिलेख महाल अप्पर बैरी में दुरुस्ती की जाकर उसका नाम सरवनू उर्फ श्रवण कुमार दर्ज किया जाए।

अतः इससे पूर्व कि मामला में अधीन धारा 37(2) भू-राजस्व अधिनियम, 1954 के तहत आगामी आवश्यक कार्रवाई अमल में लाई जाए, इस नोटिस द्वारा जन-साधारण को सूचित किया जाता है कि यदि किसी को उपरोक्त मामला में कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह इस न्यायालय में दिनांक 20-07-2017 को प्रातः 10.00 बजे असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर अपना उजर/एतराज पेश कर सकता है। अन्यथा गैर हाजिरी की सूरत में एकतरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जाएगी।

आज दिनांक 15-06-2017 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी किया गया।

मोहर।

जगदीश लाल,
सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी,
सन्धोल, जिला मण्डी, हि0 प्र0।

**In the Court of Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Balh,
District Mandi, H. P.**

In the matter of :—

1. Nitesh Kashyap s/o Shri Akhil Kashyap, r/o Village & P.O. Nagchala, Tehsil Balh, District Mandi, H.P.
2. Smt. Seema d/o Shri Lal Singh, r/o Village Chambi, P.O. Padhiun, Tehsil Balh, District Mandi, H.P. at present wife of Nitesh Kashyap s/o Shri Akhil Kashyap, r/o Village & P.O. Nagchala, Tehsil Balh, District Mandi, H.P.

.. Applicants

Versus

General Public

Subject.—Application for the registration of Marriage under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Whereas Nitesh Kashyap s/o Shri Akhil Kashyap, r/o Village & P.O. Nagchala, Tehsil Balh, District Mandi, H.P. and Smt. Seema d/o Shri Lal Singh, r/o Village Chambi, P.O. Padhiun, Tehsil Balh, District Mandi, H.P. at present wife of Nitesh Kashyap s/o Shri Akhil Kashyap, r/o Village & P.O. Nagchala, Tehsil Balh, District Mandi, H.P. have filed an application along with affidavits in the court of undersigned under section 15 of Special Marriage Act, 1954 that they have solemnized their marriage on 05-02-2016 according to Hindu rites and customs at Village & P.O. Nagchala, Tehsil Balh, District Mandi H.P. and they are living together as husband and wife since then. Hence, their marriage may be registered under Special Marriage Act, 1954.

Therefore, the general public is hereby informed through this notice that any person who has any objection regarding this marriage, can file the objection personally or in writing before this court on or before 28-07-2017. After that no objection will be entertained and marriage will be registered.

Issued today on 28th day of June, 2017 under my hand and seal of the court.

Seal.

Sd/-

*Marriage Officer-cum-Sub-Divisional Magistrate,
Balh, District Mandi (H.P.).*

ब अदालत श्री वेद प्रकाश, सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी, सुन्दरनगर, जिला मण्डी, हि० प्र०

शीर्षक :

दी सुन्दरनगर, तहसील को० ओ० एम० एण्ड सी० यूनियन लि० सुन्दरनगर, जिला मण्डी, हि० प्र० बजरिया सचिव ओम प्रकाश पुत्र श्री मुनी लाल, निवासी भोजपुर, तहसील सुन्दरनगर, जिला मण्डी, हि० प्र० प्रार्थी।

बनाम

आम जनता

प्रत्यार्थीगण।

प्रार्थना पत्र वाक्या महाल भोजपुर, तहसील सुन्दरनगर के कागजात माल में नाम की दुरुस्त करने बारे।

दी सुन्दरनगर, तहसील को० ओ० एम० एण्ड सी० यूनियन लि० सुन्दरनगर, जिला मण्डी, हि० प्र० बजरिया सचिव ओम प्रकाश पुत्र श्री मुनी लाल, निवासी भोजपुर, तहसील सुन्दरनगर, जिला मण्डी, हि० प्र० ने इस न्यायालय में आवेदन पत्र प्रस्तुत किया है कि भूमि खेवट नम्बर 195, खतौनी नम्बर 378, खसरा नम्बर 1273, 1274, रकबा तादादी 528.05 वर्ग मीटर, खेवट नम्बर 469, खतौनी नम्बर 727, खसरा नम्बर 1264, 1271, 1272 रकबा तादादी 371.77 वर्ग मीटर व खेवट नम्बर 397, खतौनी नम्बर 639, खसरा नम्बर 1262, रकबा तादादी 115.88 वर्ग मीटर वाक्या महाल भोजपुर, तहसील सुन्दरनगर, जिला मण्डी, हि० प्र० में दर्ज है। प्रार्थी का नाम दी सुन्दरनगर, तहसील को० ओ० एम० एण्ड सी० यूनियन लि० सुन्दरनगर है व हिन्दी में दी सुन्दरनगर तहसील सहकारी विपणन एवम उपभोक्ता संघ समिति है परन्तु राजस्व अभिलेख में व्यापारिक सहकारी समिति, उप-मण्डल सुन्दरनगर दर्ज है, जिसे प्रार्थी दुरुस्त करवाना चाहता है।

अतः इस इशतहार के माध्यम से उक्त प्रत्यार्थीगणों को सूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त दुरुस्ती के बारा में किसी भी प्रकार का कोई उजर/एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 27-07-2017 को मुकर्रर तारीख पर बवक्त 10.00 बजे सुबह असातन या वकालतन हाजिर आकर पैरवी मुकद्दमा करें अन्यथा आपके खिलाफ कार्यवाही एकतरफा अमल में लाई जायेगी।

आज दिनांक 28-06-2017 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ।

मोहर।

हस्ताक्षरित/—,
सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी,
सुन्दरनगर, जिला मण्डी, हि0 प्र0।